

CASE DIGEST: *NTEU, Chapter 338, 73 FLRA 487 (2023)*

Challenging the Agency's suspension of the grievant, the Union alleged to the Arbitrator that the suspension did not promote the efficiency of the service and that it violated the Whistleblower Protection Act. Upon finding that the suspension did not promote the efficiency of the service, the Arbitrator rescinded it. He then asserted that the Union could pursue its other claim in other forums. The Authority found that the Arbitrator's statement about remedial alternatives for the Union's other claim was dictum and, as such, did not provide a basis for setting aside the award. Therefore, the Authority denied the Union's exception.

This case digest is a summary of a decision issued by the Federal Labor Relations Authority, with a short description of the issues and facts of the case. Descriptions contained in this case digest are for informational purposes only, do not constitute legal precedent, and are not intended to be a substitute for the opinion of the Authority.